

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/901,338	Applicant(s) Keesman
	Examiner Anand Rao	Group Art Unit 2713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anand Rao

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Schaier (#37,715)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 19, 1998

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

US Patent 5,561,466 to Kiriyma...

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant's representative informed the Examiner that the Office Action of 6/23/98 failed to list the cited reference of Kiriyma on the accompanying US-PTO 892 Notice of References form, nor was the reference made available to the Applicant during the prior stages of prosecution of the case. Accordingly, the Applicant requested a re-mail of the reference and an accompanying US-PTO 892 form to make the reference of record, and a restart of the period of response as from the mailing date of the Kiriyma reference. The Examiner agreed to expedite the re-mailing of the references and to restart the period of response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



ANAND RAO
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2713

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.